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Abstract

Introduction: The recent history of integrated health care in Sweden is explored in this article, focusing on the first decade of the 2000s. In addition, there are some reflections about successes and setbacks in this development and challenges for the next decade.

Description of policy and practice: The first efforts to integrate health care in Sweden appeared in the beginning of the 1990s. The focus was on integration of intra-organisational processes, aiming at a more cost-effective health care provision. Partly as a reaction to the increasing economism at that time, there was also a growing interest in quality improvement. Out of this work emerged the ‘chains of care’, integrating all health care providers involved in the care of specific patient groups. During the 2000s, many county councils have also introduced inter-organisational systems of ‘local health care’. There has also been increasing collaboration between health professionals and other professional groups in different health and welfare services.

Discussion and conclusion: Local health care meant that the chains of care and other forms of integration and collaboration became embedded in a more integrative context. At the same time, however, policy makers have promoted free patient choice in primary health care and also mergers of hospitals and clinical departments. These policies tend to fragment the provision of health care and have an adverse effect on the development of integrated care. As a counterbalance, more efforts should be put into evaluation of integrated health care, in order to replace political convictions with evidence concerning the benefits of such health care provision.
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1. Introduction

In Sweden as in many other countries there has been an increasing differentiation of roles and responsibilities within the health system, which has generated a corresponding need for integration of health services [1]. Thus, integration has become a more and more important task for the Swedish health authorities. By the end of the past millennium, however, the health authorities were increasingly drawing on external inspiration in their efforts to improve the performance of the system. Inspired by success stories from the private sector [2], the focus of organisational development shifted from a division of functions to an integration of multifunctional activities [3]. This new focus generated two main approaches for the integration of health care:
improvement of intra-organisational processes and design of inter-organisational structures [4]. Before
describing this development in more detail, it is neces-
sary with a short background.

During the 1960s there was an ambition in Sweden
to create an integrated system of health care on the
regional level of the society. The responsibilities for
primary health care and psychiatric care were decen-
tralised from the national government to the county
councils, who were already responsible for the general
hospitals. In 1967, the county councils were responsible
for all the different branches of health care. They were
also quite independent of the national government, since
most of their activities were financed through county
taxes. Thus, since the 1960s, the political as well as the
financial power in the Swedish health system has been
resting on the regional level of the society [5].

In the beginning of the 1990s, this decentralised health
system was further decentralised when the respon-
sibility for care of the elderly was transferred from
the county councils to the municipalities. This was
a national reform in order to improve the integration
between the health services of the county councils and
the social services of the municipalities, and also to
improve the collaboration between health profession-
als and social workers [6]. For the same reasons, there
was another national reform a few years later, where
the responsibility for care of the functionally disabled
and long-term psychiatric care was also transferred
from the county councils to the municipalities [7].

After these reforms in the 1990s, the main stakehold-
ers of the Swedish health system and their principal
responsibilities are as illustrated in Figure 1.

Although health care in Sweden is financed mainly
from public sources, there has been a growing pri-
vate sector involvement in the health system from the
beginning of the 1990s. There have been an increas-
ing number of private providers, mainly in primary
health care and care of the elderly, who have been
contracted through competitive procurement and
financed by the county councils and the municipali-
ties. This process of privatisation has increased the
differentiation of the Swedish health system and today
private providers account for almost 10% of the total
health care expenditures [9].

The increasing differentiation, as a result of the increas-
ing number of private providers, has run contrary to the
integration of health and social services in the county
councils and municipalities. So has also the market

1In order to avoid the conceptual confusion in the literature of integrated
care, the concept of integration will be used in this article mainly in inter-
organisational contexts, while collaboration will be used in inter-professional
contexts. For a discussion of this terminology, see [8].

![Figure 1. Main stakeholders of the Swedish health care system and their principal duties.](image-url)

This article will further explore the recent history of integrated health care in Sweden. Tracing the origins
back to the 1990s, the focus will be on the develop-
ment of integration and collaboration in the Swedish
health system during the first decade of the 2000s.
In addition to the historical account, there will be
some analytical reflections in connection with the dif-
f erent stages of the development. There will also be
an analysis of the successes and setbacks with the
wisdom of hindsight. Finally, some of the challenges
of integrated health care for the next decade will be
discussed.
2. The heritage from the 1990s

The first efforts to integrate health care in Sweden were inspired by the so-called ‘producer model’ from the manufacturing industry [14]. According to this model, the core processes within an organisation must be integrated in order to create predetermined outcomes in a cost-effective way. Furthermore, such processes should be repetitive, consist of sequential activities, and have a distinct start and end.

Many well-known methods of process development have been derived from the producer model, like for instance ‘Business Process Re-engineering’ [15] and ‘Business Process Improvement’ [16]. The successful use of these methods in the private sector has inspired health care organisations in Sweden to integrate their processes in the same way. In the beginning of the 1990s, methods of process development were used in settings similar to manufacturing, i.e., when health care activities were repetitive, sequential and had predetermined outcomes. Elective surgery was one of the areas where Swedish health care was successful in developing integrated intra-organisational processes [4].

By the end of the 1990s, when more and more county councils had abandoned their market oriented models, there was an increasing interest in the quality of health care. Different models of quality improvement were introduced, for example the Swedish model called QUL, an acronym for quality, development and management [17]. These models were derived from the producer model. At the same time, however, there was a growing awareness that all health care did not have conditions equal to the manufacturing industry. Instead, it was pointed out that health care provision is based on a complex mixture of patient needs, which require contributions from many different departments and organisations. This means an inter-organisational rather than intra-organisational context.

These considerations were important also for the development of ‘chains of care’ [18]. This is a Swedish concept of integration and collaboration in health care, which includes all the services provided for a specific group of patients within a defined geographical area. Chains of care are inter-organisational networks based on clinical guidelines, i.e., agreements on the content and distribution of the clinical work between different health care providers and professionals. Most chains of care can be described as co-ordinated networks, where financial and clinical responsibilities of the parties involved remain separated. Furthermore, binding contracts, regulating the activities performed, are usually not in place [4].

The chain of care concept has a clear patient focus, sometimes also expressed as a ‘customer orientation’ [19]. Because of this orientation, the concept was considered to be part of the New Public Management in the 1990s, although chains of care were introduced already in the 1980s. Within the framework of the purchaser-provider split, there were also some experiments of commissioning a whole chain of care. The aim was to create incentives for providers to develop cost-effective care through the whole chain. In spite of these experiments, however, the chains of care have remained a concept for improving the quality rather than the cost-effectiveness of health care [20].

3. The entrance of the new millennium

The development of integrated health care in Sweden has continued in the new millennium. In the beginning of the 2000s, the chains of care were well established in many county councils. Some of them had quite an impressive record with 25 or more chains of care, most of them focusing on chronic diseases [18]. At the same time, however, a majority of county councils were dissatisfied with their development of integrated health care, because of difficulties to implement sustainable solutions in a predominantly non-integrative context [20] and negative reactions from health care professionals to top-down development approaches [21].

The development of integrated health care has not been limited to chains of care. During the 2000s, many Swedish county councils have also restructured their health services and introduced a system of ‘local health care’, which can be described as an upgraded family- and community-oriented primary health care within a defined local area, supported by flexible hospital services. The ambition has been to create an integrated provision of health care that fits the needs of a local population, which means that the content and form of local health care may differ from one area to another [22]. According to the National Board of Health and Welfare, two out of three county councils including the largest ones have implemented local health care, which means that 80% of the Swedish population are covered by this form of integrated care [23].

Because of different local needs and circumstances, there is no single model of local health care to be applied everywhere [22]. In most county councils, however, the introduction of local health care has not involved any large-scale organisational changes. It has rather been a question of combining existing organisations, resources and competences to secure adequate responses to the most frequent needs of the local population. This means quite a loose integration,
which has been achieved mainly by chains of care [23]. Thus, there seems to be a mutual relationship between local health care and chains of care. Local health care needs chains of care as integrating mechanisms and the chains of care are strengthened by the integrative context of local health care [20].

The implementation of local health care has been important also for the integration of health and social services. This is the case particularly in care of the elderly and long-term psychiatric care, which were also the targets of the national reform in the 1990s. Local health care has facilitated collaboration between health professionals and social workers, for example in ‘dementia teams’ [24], ‘multidisciplinary home care teams’ [25], different forms of ‘case management’ [26] and ‘rehabilitation teams’ [27].

These forms of collaboration have not been restricted to local health care. Health professionals have collaborated with social workers also in other contexts, for example in teams for ‘assertive community treatment’ of mental illness [28], in centres for treatment and prevention of addiction and dependency [29], and in support to vulnerable children and young people [30]. Another area of multiprofessional collaboration has been in health care for refugees [31]. There have also been experiments with a common organisation for health and social service in one municipality [32] and a consortium for mental health and social care in another municipality [33].

The most extensive experiments in inter-organisational integration have been in the field of vocational rehabilitation, where health professionals have collaborated with social workers and officials from the social insurance administration and the national employment service [34]. There have also been EU projects on collaboration in vocational rehabilitation between the same organisations and professional groups [35]. The positive outcomes of these experiments and projects have resulted in a legislation, which makes it possible for county councils and municipalities to form ‘local associations’ for financial co-ordination together with the local offices of the social insurance administration and the national employment service [36]. Today there are more than 80 associations of this kind in Sweden [37].

The financial co-ordination means that resources from the different organisations are pooled into a common budget for the local association. This budget may be used for different rehabilitation projects, which are managed by the association. These projects are usually aimed at individuals with multiple problems that require collaboration between professionals from the different organisations involved [38].

4. With the wisdom of hindsight

When the responsibilities for care of the elderly and long-term psychiatric care were transferred from the county councils to the municipalities, there was an incentive to allocate patients to the most cost-effective care possible. As a result, the number of hospital beds in Sweden was reduced by 45%, during the 1990s, while most other European countries had a reduction by 10–20% during the same period of time [39]. Thus, the integration of health and social services may have improved cost-effectiveness, but it also created new problems related to a lack of physicians in municipal nursing homes and parallel organisations for home health care in the county councils and the municipalities [25].

The integration of health and social services in the 1990s was problematic also for other reasons. There were many ‘territorial’ conflicts between the different organisations and professions involved [8]. However, with the introduction of local health care, the provision of integrated care for the elderly and the mentally ill has been improved. Gaps between the different services can be bridged, and the quality of care and rehabilitation surely benefit from the multiprofessional collaboration within the framework of local health care [40].

Concerning the chains of care, a national survey has shown that seven out of 10 county councils in Sweden were disappointed with their development work [18]. As mentioned before, it seems that the chains of care had been implemented mainly through a top-down approach, which was not appropriate in an environment dominated by strong professional groups. In such an environment, developments initiated from the top of the organisation are often resisted. If the development of chains of care had been initiated from below by dedicated professionals, it would probably have been more successful [21].

There have also been other reasons for resistance to the development of integrated health care. For instance, the general practitioners have not supported the decentralisation of responsibility for the care of the elderly to the municipalities, since it has threatened their position as managers of the nursing homes [41]. The implementation of local health care has aroused similar reactions among the general practitioners, who have thought there is a risk that primary health care will disappear or become more anonymous [42].

In vocational rehabilitation, the financial coordination between the different organisations involved has eliminated many obstacles to integration and collaboration. One of the main obstacles has been the fear of costs being transferred between the organisations involved.
Moreover, it seems that the local associations of financial co-ordination have improved the management and continuity of vocational rehabilitation [44]. On the other hand, many of the rehabilitation activities of the local associations are temporary and regarded as projects, which means that they are separated from the different organisations involved. The integration is limited to these projects and not really influencing ordinary work [45].

According to organisation theory, the level of integration in health care should be related to the degree of differentiation of services. A high degree of differentiation requires a high degree of integration [46]. Therefore, the degree of integration varies between different organisations and services, depending on their need for integration. The degree of integration is also depending on the possibility to attain ‘collaborative advantage’ [47]. Organisational researchers have pointed out that it is important for stakeholders to discover and recognise the possible advantages of collaboration. Unless there is potential for such advantages, collaboration should be avoided [48].

The development of integration may even be destructive when collaborative advantages are concealed or lacking, since professionals as well as managers tend to defend their territories when these are believed to be threatened [38]. Such a shift of focus, from joint activities to protection of boundaries, may have very negative effects. In Sweden, there have been many examples, like resource battles between health care providers [49], threats against the position of the physicians [42], and unwillingness to collaborate in general [6].

Although there have been many setbacks in the development of integrated health care, it seems that more favourable conditions have emerged during the past decade. As described before, there is a ‘mutualistic’ relationship between chains of care and local health care [20]. Chains of care have become the building blocks of local health care, and they have also benefited from being embedded in such an integrative context. This context has also been favourable for other forms of integration and collaboration between health and social services. In addition, integration in vocational rehabilitation has been facilitated by new legislation encouraging county councils, municipalities and state agencies to collaborate and to create local associations of financial co-ordination [36].

5. Challenges for the next decade

Despite the fact that integrated health care has been high on the political agendas during the last two decades, counteracting policies have been, and are still, promoted. The increasing privatisation and the period of purchaser-provider split have been mentioned before. Both of these developments were based on political as well as economic considerations. Lately, a new system of free choice for patients in primary health care has been proposed by a parliamentary committee and is expected to be introduced in all the county councils [50]. According to the proposal, the free patient choice will generate a capitation payment to the chosen primary health care centre. This system is based mainly on political convictions. Policy makers believe that, as a result of competition between health centres, strong providers will survive while unprofitable ones will be eliminated [22].

In order to implement the new system different models of patient choice have been developed. In some county councils the patients can choose among comprehensive local health care arrangements, whereas in other county councils they register for a specific general practitioner [51]. There is a great challenge for the health authorities to simultaneously manage both competition and collaboration, although it is easier when patients choose among networks of integrated health care and not among individual health care providers. Models of the latter kind tend to fragment the provision of health services.

Although inter-organisational integration been promoted, developed and implemented during the last two decades, intra-organisational integration has still a strong foothold in Swedish health care. In recent years there have been a number of mergers of hospitals and creation of hospital groups under joint management. These mergers are aiming at large-scale production and motivated by economies of scale. They have also been strongly endorsed by policy makers. In spite of bad experiences, related to the size and complexity of the new hospital organisations, the mergers are spreading to more and more county councils [52]. As a result, the number of hospitals has been halved since the beginning of the 1990s. Today there are only 53 general hospitals in Sweden and many of them are multi-sited hospital groups. This restructuring of hospitals is proceeding in spite of the fact that the multi-sited hospitals have not been systematically evaluated, neither in Sweden nor internationally [53].

Regardless of this lack of evidence, the hospital mergers have been followed by proposals about a merger of the Swedish county councils. A parliamentary committee has proposed that the present 21 Swedish county councils should be merged into 6–8 more equally sized regional councils [54]. The committee apparently mistrusts the willingness and ability of the county councils to integrate their services and collaborate with each other. The confidence in mergers and large-scale solutions appears be widespread among the policy makers [52].
To conclude, it is clear that Swedish policy makers have been supporting the development of integrated health care during the last decade, but at the same time they have also been promoting contrary strategies implying a fragmentation of health services and mistrust in collaborative advantages. Even if consistency is not necessarily a political virtue, the contradictory policies could possibly be linked to the lack of evidence about the benefits of integrated health care [55]. In any case, more efforts should be placed on the evaluation of integrated health care, as well as the other developments described, in order to replace political convictions with evidence on the benefits of different forms of health care provision.
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